The socio-economic integration of refugees involves recognising know-how, qualifications and skills
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Although higher education institutions have been called upon to recruit more students, refugees face multiple obstacles when it comes to accessing them. This is a clear sign that European countries, on this matter as elsewhere, are not without paradoxes or renunciations. And yet, the tools do exist.

Across the world, the university enrolment rate is increasing. Its global average of 36% rises to 76% in regions such as Europe and North America. But this is a movement from which refugees are excluded. Of the 25 million refugees in the world in 2018, 61% are young people. But only 1% of them have access to higher education. In the United Kingdom, the Higher Education Policy Institute (HEPI) has highlighted the scale of this difference between refugees and the rest of the population in order to formulate recommendations for a more open, diverse and inclusive university system. Globally, according to a 2016 UNESCO report, refugees are five times more likely to be out of school.

Yet the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that “higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit” (Article 26.1). In 1997, to make it easier for refugees to exercise this fundamental right, the European countries ratified a joint convention by the Council of Europe and UNESCO called the Lisbon Recognition Convention, dedicated specifically to the “recognition of qualifications concerning higher education in the European region”, inviting the fifty-three countries that ratified it to take:

“All feasible and reasonable steps […] to develop procedures designed to assess fairly and expeditiously whether refugees, displaced persons and persons in a refugee-like situation fulfil the relevant requirements for access to higher education, to further higher education programmes or...

---

1 The gross enrolment rate indicates the number of students in higher education institutions, expressed as a percentage of the age group for this level of education, [http://uis.unesco.org/fr](http://uis.unesco.org/fr)
2 In international law, according to the 1951 Geneva Convention, a *refugee* is a person who, if they return to their country, “has a well-founded fear of persecution for reasons of race, religion, nationality, political opinion or membership in a particular social group”. An *asylum seeker* is a person who has filed an individual asylum application with a State in order to be granted the status of refugee. A *migrant* is, according to UNESCO’s definition, “any person who lives temporarily or permanently in a country where he or she was not born, and has acquired some significant social ties to this country”.
3 “We are now witnessing the highest levels of displacement on record. An unprecedented 68.5 million people around the world have been forced from home. Among them are nearly 25.4 million refugees, over half of whom are under the age of 18”. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, 2018. [www.unhcr.org/fr](http://www.unhcr.org/fr)
4 Higher Education Policy Institute-HEPI, “Reaching the parts of society universities have missed”, Report 106, 2018, [www.hepi.ac.uk](http://www.hepi.ac.uk)
to employment activities, even in cases in which the qualifications obtained in one of the Parties cannot be proven through documentary evidence” (Section VII, Art. VII).7

Regardless of these shared declarations and commitments, the different countries’ legal and regulatory frameworks fail to guarantee this right to education, thus slowing down refugees and asylum seekers’ access to the labour market.8

Material hurdles

The members of the European Union are faced with a paradox. Under the influence of their governments, global rankings9 and the hegemony of the Anglo-American model10, higher education institutions are increasing their efforts to enrol more international students – indicators of a country’s quality and attractiveness11. However, the European Higher Education Area (EHEA) keeps generating hurdles for this particular category of potential international students, namely refugees.

Economic studies agree on migrants’ positive impact on growth and job creation in the host countries and in the countries of origin12, but also on the strong correlation between the migrants’ level of education and their capacity to contribute to the economy13, while intra-European mobility is insufficient to respond to the needs of the labour market, particularly in sectors under stress14. There is thus a strong incentive to suppress these15, especially as delays – namely the increasingly lengthy asylum processes – weaken physical health16, cause psychological despondency and slow down future socio-economic integration opportunities17. The prospect of starting or continuing studies is seen by asylum seekers, refugees and economists as a key factor of success and integration – as important as basic needs and safety18.

---

But, in a fragmented European higher education landscape that is still insufficiently clear in terms of access criteria and procedures, national regulations and practices currently complicate the refugees’ experience.19

Among the most visible obstacles are language level and financial resources. In addition to a good average level of understanding and expression, access to higher education involves mastering the administrative and academic codes and the capacity to undergo various assessment tests. The costs of these tests come on top of school fees and tuition, which vary depending on the country. Academic cooperation organisations such as Germany’s DAAD or the Netherlands’ Nuffic,20 private foundations and various non-profit organisations have created dedicated scholarship funds. However, many refugees do not have the necessary budget to cover their daily expenses during their studies.

The gaps in terms of information, guidance and counselling also constitute a hurdle. Understanding the host country’s school system means gaining familiarity with its evaluation and grading criteria, transport assistance schemes and other aspects of student life.21 Finally, refugees have to deal with discrimination based on the perceptions of the host country’s population regarding migrants, according to the European Network Against Racism. In a 2017 report, this organisation warned about the consequences of the anti-migrant political discourse and of exclusionary migration policies which, added to the racial discrimination and restrictions associated with the migrant status, widen the gap between migrants’ employment rate and that of nationals – thus paving the way for exploitation.22

**Equivalence problems**

Throughout the past decade, many initiatives have tried to overcome these obstacles. The Refugees Welcome Map,23 created by the European University Association (EUA), aims to identify, document and update all of the facilitation mechanisms. Informed by education institutions, it gathers together more than 250 initiatives from thirty-one countries, and serves as a model for many other maps that associations and local authorities now offer.

To simplify refugees’ transition toward their host country’s university system, digital platforms and hybrid programmes – such as the University of Geneva’s LearningLabs InZone,24 the Coursera for Refugees initiative25 and the University of Gothenburg’s Jamiya project26 (all initially

---

21 Lukas Eckhardt, Jens Jungblut and Karol Pietkiewicz, Refugees welcome? Recognition of qualifications held by refugees and their access to higher education in Europe: country analyses, 2017.
23 http://refugeeswelcomemap.eua.be/Editor/Visualizer/Index/48
24 www.unige.ch/inzone/resources/
25 https://refugees.coursera.org/
26 www.jamiya.org/
designed for African and Jordanian residents’ refugee camps) – have been redeployed and adapted to include language training and individual support27.

Founded in Berlin in 2015, the social startup Kiron Open Higher Education28 aims to improve the coordination between these primary training modules and European degree courses. Its strength comes from a partnership network with Europe’s most prestigious institutions, NGOs and major international MOOC providers29.

While these organisations – which understand the situation of asylum seekers and refugees and its legal intricacy – are critical for ensuring individual support, the main difficulty today is the inefficient and incomplete recognition of qualifications, particularly in the absence of official documents. This recognition is included in the Lisbon Convention, but it is faced with the heterogeneity of practices and resources inside the European zone30, or even between two different institutions within the same country.

A lack of coordination

The European Network of Information Centres in the European Region (ENIC) and the National Academic Recognition Information Centres in the European Union (NARIC) are tasked with coordinating the implementation of a European qualification passport, launched in 2017 at the initiative of the Council of Europe31. Specifically developed for refugees with no original documents proving their qualifications, this document should allow a certified verification of language, professional and academic capacities. Its issuance relies on an interviewing process and the recognition of a wide range of proofs and self-assessment methods. However, with no official legal or administrative status, its potential recognition by universities and employers remains questionable. Although agencies in Germany (ANABIN), the UK (NARIC) and Norway (NOKUT) have succeeded in defining clear procedures for such certified assessment, the plan remains largely ineffective in many other countries.

In the field, however, a variety of actors have mobilised. In France, these interventions – often uncoordinated – have mainly been supported by higher education institutions, mostly through volunteers among student associations. While they play a leading role in integrating refugees locally, none of these actions have yet been studied in terms of their impact, which would allow a transfer of knowledge.

More than an objective in itself, access to higher education is above all the first step toward the socio-economic integration and empowerment of migrants, particularly refugees. The scale of the current and future economic, political and security challenges demands an increased involvement of beneficiaries in the evaluation and monitoring of both the actions taken and their impacts before they can be deployed on a wide scale.

28 https://kiron.ngo/
31 www.coe.int/en/web/education/recognition-of-refugees-qualifications
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